

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/583,358	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HASHIMOTO ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> BRIAN CHEW	<b>Art Unit</b> 2195

<b>All Participants:</b>	<b>Status of Application:</b> _____
(1) <u>BRIAN CHEW</u> .	(3) _____.
(2) <u>W. Keith Robinson (Reg. No. 59,396)</u> .	(4) _____.
<b>Date of Interview:</b> <u>10 March 2011</u>	<b>Time:</b> <u>9:30AM ET</u>

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:    ☐ Yes    ☐ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**  
Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:  
14, 17, 20 and 23

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**  
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*Discussed a brief explanation of the invention, particularly with regards to the first distance being a normal that connects the estimation point and the ideal consumption line. Applicant agreed to amend claims according to examiner amendment on 10 March 2011 to overcome prior art.*

**Part III.**  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Meng-Ai An/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2195 /B. C./ Examiner, Art Unit 2195	(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)
--	---